

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: ABASCAL=1

In re Application of:)	Confirmation No. 8579
)	
Jean ABASCAL)	Art Unit: 3768
)	
Appln. No.: 10/590,566)	Examiner: Joel F. Brutus
I.A. No.: PCT/FR05/00465)	
)	
\$371 Date: August 24, 2006)	Washington, D.C.
I.A. Filed: February 25, 2005)	
)	
For: ECHOGRAPHIC PROBE WITH)	July 26, 2010
SECTOR SCANNING USING A...)	

SUMMARY OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

During a telephone interview with Examiner Brutus, the Examiner advised that the Office Action mailed on January 20, 2010, was intended to be a non-final Action, and will be treated as such. This is understood to mean that the Amendment filed June 21, 2010, has satisfied the requirement for filing a written response to the last Office Action, that the application remains pending and further action will be taken by the Office.

We have received an Interview Summary dated July 20, 2010, which refers erroneously to an Office Action mailed June

In re Appln of Jean ABASCAL
Appln. No. 10/590,566
Summary of Substance of Interview
dated July 26, 2010

20, 2010. It is understood that the Examiner intended to refer
to the Office Action of January 20, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By /jmf/
Jay M. Finkelstein
Registration No. 21,082

JMF:ltm

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\M\Mout\Abascal\Pto\2010-07-26 Summary of Substance of Interview.doc